



Planning and Building Agency
Planning Division
20 Civic Center Plaza
P.O. Box 1988 (M-20)
Santa Ana, CA 92702
(714) 647-5804

APPEAL APPLICATION

I. OWNER/APPLICANT

Applicant Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility (SAFER), represented by Lozeau Drury LLP

1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150, Oakland CA 94612 (510) 836-4200
Mailing Address Area Code Phone No.

Legal Owner Name: _____

Legal Owner Address: _____

Phone No.: () _____ () _____ Fax: () _____

II. PROPERTY INFORMATION

Land Use 3 office buildings containing a total of 105,558 square feet Professional (P) Industrial/Flex (FLEX) - 3
Existing Land Use of Property and/or Building Zoning District General Plan Designation
Location 1700, 1720, and 1740 East Garry Avenue Garry Avenue and Pullman Street
Street Address Name of Nearest Intersecting Street

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

III. REASON FOR REQUEST

In the following provided space, please clearly specify and explain the error(s) of decision or requirement upon which you are basing this appeal. (If additional space is needed, please attach additional comments to the back of this application.)

For the reasons discussed in the attached comment, the October 10, 2022 decisions of the Planning Commission

to approve Amendment Application No. 2022-01 and Conditional Use Permit No. 2022-14 for the proposed

Garry Avenue Business Park Project are in violation of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA").

The City staff's determination that the Project is exempt from further environmental review pursuant to

Section 15183 of the CEQA Guidelines is incorrect. An Initial Study should have been prepared to determine the

appropriate level of CEQA review required, and the Planning Commission should have declined to approve the Project

until after proper CEQA review was complete. See attachment.

Applicant's Signature:  Date: 10/17/2022

APPEAL APPLICATION NO. _____

SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

1. An appeal application form (Exhibit 1).
2. A letter stating the nature of the appeal (in lieu of the space provided on the appeal form).
3. The application fee.
4. Any other pertinent information that the application warrants.



T 510.836.4200
F 510.836.4205

1939 Harrison Street, Ste. 150
Oakland, CA 94612

www.lozeaudrury.com
victoria@lozeaudrury.com

October 10, 2022

Via E-mail

Bao Pham, Chair
Miguel Calderon, Vice Chair
Eric M. Alderete, Commissioner
Thomas Morrissey, Commissioner
Isuri S. Ramos, Commissioner
Mark McLoughlin, Commissioner
Alan Woo, Commissioner
Planning Commission
City of Santa Ana
22 Civic Center Plaza
Santa Ana, CA 92701
PBAComments@santa-ana.org

Ali Pezeshkpour, Principal Planner
Planning and Building Agency
City of Santa Ana
20 Civic Center Plaza, M-20
Santa Ana, CA 92701
apezeshkpour@santa-ana.org

Re: Opposition Comment on the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15183 Exemption for the Garry Avenue Business Park Project (Amendment Application No. 2022-01; Conditional Use Permit No. 2022-14); Planning Commission Agenda Item 2

Dear Chair Pham, Vice Chair Calderon, Honorable City Planning Commissioners, and Mr. Pezeshkpour:

I am writing on behalf of Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility ("SAFER") regarding the Garry Avenue Business Park Project (Amendment Application No. 202201; Conditional Use Permit No. 2022-14), including all actions related or referring to the proposed construction of a 91,500 square foot industrial building, located at 1700, 1720, and 1740 East Garry Avenue in the City of Santa Ana ("Project"), which is being heard by the Planning Commission on October 10, 2022 as Agenda Item 2. The City of Santa Ana Planning Division staff have incorrectly determined that the Project is exempt from further environmental review pursuant to Section 15183 of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Guidelines.

After reviewing the Community Plan Exemption Checklist ("Exemption Checklist") prepared for the Project, as well as the 2022 City of Santa Ana General Plan Update Environmental Impact Report ("GPU EIR") upon which the Exemption Checklist relies, we conclude that the City's consistency determination fails to provide evidence that the Project does not require further analysis and mitigation under CEQA. In particular, the consistency

determination fails to provide evidence to support the Exemption Checklist's findings that the Project will not involve environmental effects that:

- (1) Are peculiar to the project or the parcel on which the project would be located,
- (2) Were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan or community plan with which the project is consistent,
- (3) Are potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the prior EIR prepared for the general plan, community plan or zoning action, or
- (4) Are previously identified significant effects which, as a result of substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the prior EIR.

As evidenced by the expert comments submitted by environmental consulting firm Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise ("SWAPE"), additional environmental review is required because: (1) there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site, and (2) the Project would result in any new significant effects not discussed in the GPU EIR. SWAPE's comment and curriculum vitae are attached as Exhibit A hereto and are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

Since the Project is not exempt from CEQA, an initial study must be prepared to determine the appropriate level of CEQA review required.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project proposes to demolish 105,558-square-feet ("SF") of office space and construct 81,500-SF of warehousing and distribution space, 10,000-SF of office space, and 145 parking spaces on the 5.2-acre site in the City of Santa Ana, California.

LEGAL STANDARD

The EIR is the very heart of CEQA. (*Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. City of Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal.App.4th 1184, 1214 ["*Bakersfield Citizens*"]; *Pocket Protectors v. City of Sacramento* (2004) 124 Cal.App.4th 903, 927 ["*Pocket Protectors*"].) The EIR is an "environmental 'alarm bell' whose purpose is to alert the public and its responsible officials to environmental changes before they have reached the ecological points of no return." (*Bakersfield Citizens*, 124 Cal.App.4th at 1220.) The EIR also functions as a "document of accountability," intended to "demonstrate to an apprehensive citizenry that the agency has, in fact, analyzed and considered the ecological implications of its action." (*Laurel Heights Improvements Assn. v. Regents of Univ. of Cal.* (1988) 47 Cal.3d 376, 392.) The EIR process "protects not only the environment but also informed self-government." (*Pocket Protectors*, 124 Cal.App.4th at 927.)

An EIR is required if "there is substantial evidence, in light of the whole record before

the lead agency, that the project may have a significant effect on the environment.” (PRC § 21080(d); see also, *Pocket Protectors*, 124 Cal.App.4th at 927.) In very limited circumstances, an agency may avoid preparing an EIR by issuing a negative declaration, a written statement briefly indicating that a project will have no significant impact thus requiring no EIR (14 CCR § 15371), only if there is not even a “fair argument” that the project will have a significant environmental effect. (PRC §§ 21100, 21064.) Since “[t]he adoption of a negative declaration . . . has a terminal effect on the environmental review process,” by allowing the agency “to dispense with the duty [to prepare an EIR],” negative declarations are allowed only in cases where “the proposed project will not affect the environment at all.” (*Citizens of Lake Murray v. San Diego* (1989) 129 Cal.App.3d 436, 440.)

To achieve its objectives of environmental protection, CEQA has a three-tiered structure. (14 CCR § 15002(k); *Committee to Save the Hollywoodland Specific Plan v. City of Los Angeles* (2008) 161 Cal.App.4th 1168, 1185-86 [*“Hollywoodland”*].) First, if a project falls into an exempt category, or it can be seen with certainty that the activity in question will not have a significant effect on the environment, no further agency evaluation is required. *Id.* Second, if there is a possibility the project will have a significant effect on the environment, the agency must perform an initial threshold study. (*Id.*; 14 CCR § 15063(a).) If the study indicates that there is no substantial evidence that the project or any of its aspects may cause a significant effect on the environment the agency may issue a negative declaration. (*Id.*; 14 CCR §§ 15063(b)(2), 15070.) Finally, if the project will have a significant effect on the environment, an environmental impact report (“EIR”) is required. (*Id.*) Here, since the City exempted the Project from CEQA entirely, the first step of the CEQA process applies.

CEQA identifies certain classes of projects which are exempt from the provisions of CEQA. These are called categorical exemptions. (14 CCR §§ 15300, 15354.) “Exemptions to CEQA are narrowly construed and ‘[e]xemption categories are not to be expanded beyond the reasonable scope of their statutory language.’ (Citations).” (*Mountain Lion Foundation v. Fish & Game Com.* (1997) 16 Cal.4th 105, 125.) The determination as to the appropriate scope of a categorical exemption is a question of law subject to independent, or de novo, review. (*San Lorenzo Valley Community Advocates for Responsible Education v. San Lorenzo Valley Unified School Dist.*, (2006) 139 Cal. App. 4th 1356, 1375 (“[Q]uestions of interpretation or application of the requirements of CEQA are matters of law. (Citations.) Thus, for example, interpreting the scope of a CEQA exemption presents ‘a question of law, subject to de novo review by this court.’ (Citations).”))

In addition, there are several exceptions to CEQA’s categorical exemptions. (See, 14 CCR § 15300.2.) At least one exception is relevant here:

Significant Effects. A project may never be exempted from CEQA if there is a “fair argument” that the project may have significant environmental impacts due to “unusual circumstances.” 14 CCR § 15300.2(c). The Supreme Court has held that since the agency may only exempt activities that do not have a significant effect on the environment, a fair argument that a project will have significant

effects precludes an exemption. (*Wildlife Alive v. Chickering* (1976) 18 Cal.3d 190, 204.)

Here, the City has issued a notice of exemption alleging that the proposed Project is exempt from CEQA review under Section 15183. However, as discussed below, this exemption is improper, and instead, a full CEQA analysis, such as an EIR, must be prepared for this Project.

DISCUSSION

I. THE PROJECT WILL HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS PECULIAR TO THE PROJECT THAT WERE NOT ANALYZED AS SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS IN THE GENERAL PLAN EIR AND THESE IMPACTS REQUIRE FURTHER ANALYSIS UNDER CEQA

Section 15183 of the California Environmental Quality Act allows a project to avoid environmental review if it is “consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan, or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified . . . **except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site.**” (14 CCR § 15183 (emphasis added).) The intention of this section is to “streamline[]” CEQA review for projects and avoid the preparation of repetitive documents. Even when a project is “consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan, or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified,” environmental review is still required for various types of impacts, including those “peculiar to the project or parcel on which the project would be located,” those which “were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR,” “are potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the prior EIR,” or “[a]re previously identified significant effects which, as a result of substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the prior EIR.” (14 CCR sec. 15183.)

Section 1518(f) of the CEQA Guidelines states that a Project’s environmental effects are not peculiar to a project if “uniformly applied development policies or standards have been previously adopted” which serve to mitigate environmental impacts, “**unless substantial new information shows that the policies or standards will not substantially mitigate the environmental effect.**” (Emphasis added). Therefore, the standard set forth by CEQA for this analysis is substantial evidence.

Here, there is substantial evidence demonstrating that the Project will have project-specific significant impacts that were not addressed in the General Plan EIR, and therefore must be addressed through CEQA review now.

II. THE PROJECT WILL HAVE PROJECT-SPECIFIC SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS WHICH WERE NOT ADDRESSED IN THE PREVIOUS GENERAL PLAN UPDATE EIR.

First of all, the Project's potential air quality impacts were not addressed in the previous General Plan EIR, because the air quality impacts of the Project as proposed could not have been foreseen at the time the General Plan was prepared. Emissions for the proposed Project must be modeled using a program such as CalEEMod, and project-specific input parameters must be measured against applicable thresholds. Further, a screening-level HRA must be prepared to determine the risk posed to nearby residential receptors, as well as propose mitigation as necessary. If the Project's criteria air pollutant and/or toxic air contaminant emissions exceed the relevant South Coast Air Quality Management District's ("SCAQMD") thresholds, the Project's greenhouse gas emissions must also be modeled using a program such as CalEEMod and mitigation must be implemented if necessary. As discussed below, these considerations all represent potential project-specific significant effects that were not addressed in the previous General Plan EIR, and therefore, the City must review these impacts under CEQA.

A. The Project Could Have Significant Air Quality Impacts, Requiring Additional CEQA Analysis Under Section 15183.

In support of the Exemption, the City claims that the Project is not required to submit an HRA, as Mitigation Measure AQ-3 ("MM-AQ-3") included in the GPU EIR is not applicable to the Project. (See, Exhibit A, pp. 1-2.) However, as SWAPE notes, "regardless of the [Exemption Checklist] claims, the State of California Department of Justice recommends that all warehouse projects prepare a quantitative HRA pursuant to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), the organization responsible for providing guidance on conducting HRAs in California, as well as local air district guidelines."

OEHHA released its most recent guidance document in 2015 describing which types of projects warrant preparation of an HRA. (See, e.g., "Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments." OEHHA, February 2015, available at: http://oehha.ca.gov/air/hot_spots/hotspots2015.html.) OEHHA recommends that projects lasting at least 2 months be evaluated for cancer risks to nearby sensitive receptors, a time period which this Project easily exceeds. (Exhibit A, p. 2.) Because "the Project's anticipated construction duration exceeds the 2-month and 6-month requirements set forth by OEHHA, construction of the Project meets the threshold warranting a quantified HRA under OEHHA guidance and should be evaluated for the entire 12-month construction period." (*Id.*) The OEHHA document also recommends that if a project is expected to last over 6 months, the exposure should be evaluated throughout the project using a 30-year exposure duration to estimate individual cancer risks. (*Id.*) Based on its extensive experience, SWAPE reasonably assumes that the Project will last at least 30 years, and therefore recommends that health risk impacts from project-generated Diesel Particulate Matter ("DPM") emissions be evaluated. (*Id.*)

An initial study and mitigated negative declaration or environmental impact report is needed to adequately address the air quality impacts of the proposed Project, and to mitigate those impacts accordingly.

B. The Project Will Have a Significant Health Impact as a Result of Diesel Particulate Emissions into the Air.

SWAPE analyzed the Project's emissions of Diesel Particulate Matter (DPM) into the air, and the resulting impact on human health. To do so, SWAPE prepared a screening-level Health Risk Assessment ("HRA") to evaluate potential impacts from the construction and operation of the Project. (Exhibit A, pp. 3-7.) SWAPE prepared a screening-level HRA to evaluate potential health risk impacts posed to residential sensitive receptors as a result of the Project's construction-related and operational TAC emissions. SWAPE used AERSCREEN, the leading screening-level air quality dispersion model. SWAPE applied a sensitive receptor distance of 200 meters and analyzed impacts to individuals at different stages of life based on OEHHA and SCAQMD guidance utilizing age sensitivity factors.

SWAPE found that the excess cancer risks at a sensitive receptor located approximately 200 meters away over the course of Project construction and operation, while utilizing the recommended age sensitivity factors, are approximately 71.6 in one million for infants, 103 in one million for children, and 11.5 in one million for adults. (*Id.*, p. 6.) Moreover, the excess cancer risk over the course of a residential lifetime (i.e. 30 years) for Project operation and construction is approximately 188 in one million. (*Id.*) The cancer risks to infants, children, adults, and lifetime residents appreciably exceed SCAQMD's threshold of 10 in one million, thus indicating a significant air quality impact.

Because the Project will have numerous significant air quality impacts peculiar to this project, and not analyzed in the GP EIR, additional CEQA review is required.

C. The Project Will Have Significant Greenhouse Gas Impacts Requiring Additional CEQA Analysis Under 15183 Exemption.

SWAPE analyzed Project's potential greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions and found that the Project and GPU EIR failed to adequately analyze the Project's greenhouse gas impacts, which SWAPE found to be potentially significant. (See, Exhibit A, pp. 8-10.)

First, the Exemption Checklist's greenhouse gas impact analysis and subsequent less-than-significant impact conclusion are based on an outdated quantitative analysis GHG threshold. (See, *id.*, pp. 8-9.) According to SWAPE, the Exemption Checklist incorrectly "estimates that the Project would generate net annual [GHG] emissions of 1,668 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents per year ("MT CO₂e/year"), which would not exceed the SCAQMD threshold of 3,000 MT CO₂e/year." (*Id.*, p. 8.) SWAPE explains that this is incorrect because "the guidance that provided the 3,000 MT CO₂e/year threshold, the SCAQMD's 2008 Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold for Stationary Sources, Rules, and Plans report, was developed when the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, commonly known as "AB 32", was the governing statute for GHG reductions in California. AB 32 requires California to reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020." (*Id.*) In addition, the Association of Environmental Professionals (AEP) guidance states:

[F]or evaluating projects with a post 2020 horizon, the threshold will need to be revised based on a new gap analysis that would examine 17 development and reduction potentials out to the next GHG reduction milestone.

(*Id.*, pp. 8-9 [citations omitted].) Because it is currently October 2022, thresholds for 2020 are not applicable to the proposed Project and should be revised to reflect the current GHG reduction target. (*Id.*, p. 9.) As a result, the SCAQMD bright-line threshold of 3,000 MT CO₂e/year is outdated and inapplicable to the proposed Project, and the [Exemption Checklist's] less-than-significant GHG impact conclusion should not be relied upon. (Exhibit A, p. 9.) Instead, SWAPE recommends "that the Project apply the SCAQMD 2035 service population efficiency target of 3.0 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents per service population per year ("MT CO₂e/SP/year"), which was calculated by applying a 40% reduction to the 2020 targets." (*Id.*)

To more accurately determine the Project's GHG emissions, SWAPE prepared an updated air model using the project-specific information provided by the Exemption Checklist. (See, *id.*, pp. 9-10.) SWAPE's updated analysis demonstrates that the Project would emit approximately 14.6 MT CO₂e/SP/year. (*Id.*) Therefore, the Project's service population efficiency value exceeds the SCAQMD 2035 efficiency target of 3.0 MT CO₂e/SP/year, indicating a potentially significant GHG impact not previously identified or addressed by the Exemption Checklist or GPU EIR. Thus, SWAPE's model demonstrates that the Project would result in a significant GHG impact, which precludes reliance on the CEQA Section 15183 exemption.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, SAFER requests that the Planning Commission deny the applications for the Project and, instead, direct city staff to prepare the necessary environmental documents under CEQA. The City should prepare an initial study followed by an EIR or negative declaration in accordance with CEQA prior to consideration of approvals for the Project.

Sincerely,



Victoria Yundt
LOZEAU | DRURY LLP

EXHIBIT A



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and
Litigation Support for the Environment

2656 29th Street, Suite 201
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.
(949) 887-9013
mhagemann@swape.com

Paul E. Rosenfeld, PhD
(310) 795-2335
prosenfeld@swape.com

September 23, 2022

Victoria Yundt
Lozeau | Drury LLP
1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150
Oakland, CA 94618

Subject: Comments on the 1700 Garry Avenue Project

Dear Ms. Yundt,

We have reviewed the August 2022 Planning Commission Staff Report (“Staff Report”) for the 1700 E Garry Avenue Project (“Project”) located in the City of Santa Ana (“City”). The Project proposes to demolish 105,558-square-feet (“SF”) of office space and construct 81,500-SF of warehousing and distribution space, 10,000-SF of office space, and 145 parking spaces on the 5.2-acre site.

Our review concludes that the Staff Report fails to adequately evaluate the Project’s health risk and greenhouse gas impacts. As a result, emissions and health risk impacts associated with construction and operation of the proposed Project are underestimated and inadequately addressed. An Environmental Impact Report (“EIR”) should be prepared to adequately assess and mitigate the potential health risk and greenhouse gas impacts that the Project may have on the environment.

Air Quality

Diesel Particulate Matter Emissions Inadequately Evaluated

Regarding the preparation of a health risk analysis (“HRA”), the General Plan Update Final Recirculated Program Environmental Impact Report (“GPU EIR”) incorporates Mitigation Measure (“MM”) AQ-3. The Environmental Analysis (“EA”), provided as Exhibit 10 to the Staff Report, elaborates on MM AQ-3, stating:

“AQ-3 Prior to discretionary approval by the City of Santa Ana, project applicants for new industrial or warehousing development projects that 1) have the potential to generate 100 or more diesel truck trips per day or have 40 or more trucks with operating diesel- powered transport refrigeration units, and 2) are within 1,000 feet of a sensitive land use (e.g.,

residential, schools, hospitals, or nursing homes), as measured from the property line of the project to the property line of the nearest sensitive use, shall submit a health risk assessment (HRA) to the City of Santa Ana for review and approval...

Proposed Project Applicability: Mitigation Measure AQ-3 is not applicable to the proposed Project because it would only generate 44 truck trips per day, as detailed in Section 5.17, Transportation” (p. 2-81).

As demonstrated above, the EA claims the Project is not required to submit an HRA, as MM-AQ-3 is not applicable to the proposed Project. However, regardless of the EA’s claims, the State of California Department of Justice recommends that *all* warehouse projects prepare a quantitative HRA pursuant to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”), the organization responsible for providing guidance on conducting HRAs in California, as well as local air district guidelines.¹ OEHHA released its most recent Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments in February 2015. This guidance document describes the types of projects that warrant the preparation of an HRA. Specifically, OEHHA recommends that all short-term projects lasting at least 2 months assess cancer risks.² Furthermore, according to OEHHA:

“Exposure from projects lasting more than 6 months should be evaluated for the duration of the project. In all cases, for assessing risk to residential receptors, the exposure should be assumed to start in the third trimester to allow for the use of the ASFs (OEHHA, 2009).”³

Thus, as the Project’s anticipated construction duration exceeds the 2-month and 6-month requirements set forth by OEHHA, construction of the Project meets the threshold warranting a quantified HRA under OEHHA guidance and should be evaluated for the entire 12-month construction period (p. 2-53). Furthermore, OEHHA recommends that an exposure duration of 30 years should be used to estimate the individual cancer risk at the maximally exposed individual resident (“MEIR”).⁴ While the Project documents fail to provide the expected lifetime of the proposed Project, we can reasonably assume that the Project would operate for at least 30 years, if not more. Therefore, operation of the Project also exceeds the 2-month and 6-month requirements set forth by OEHHA and should be evaluated for the entire 30-year residential exposure duration, as indicated by OEHHA guidance. These recommendations reflect the most recent state health risk policies, and as such, an EIR should be prepared to include an analysis of health risk impacts posed to nearby sensitive receptors from Project-generated DPM emissions.

¹ “Warehouse Projects: Best Practices and Mitigation Measures to Comply with the California Environmental Quality Act.” State of California Department of Justice, *available at*: <https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/environment/warehouse-best-practices.pdf>, p. 6.

² “Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, *available at*: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>, p. 8-18.

³ “Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, *available at*: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>, p. 8-18.

⁴ “Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, *available at*: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>, p. 2-4.

Screening-Level Analysis Demonstrates Potentially Significant Health Risk Impact

In order to conduct our screening-level risk assessment we relied upon AERSCREEN, which is a screening level air quality dispersion model.⁵ As discussed above, the model replaced SCREEN3, and AERSCREEN is included in the OEHHA and the California Air Pollution Control Officers Associated (“CAPCOA”) guidance as the appropriate air dispersion model for Level 2 health risk screening assessments (“HRSAs”).^{6, 7} A Level 2 HRSA utilizes a limited amount of site-specific information to generate maximum reasonable downwind concentrations of air contaminants to which nearby sensitive receptors may be exposed. If an unacceptable air quality hazard is determined to be possible using AERSCREEN, a more refined modeling approach is required prior to approval of the Project.

We prepared a preliminary HRA of the Project’s construction and operational health risk impact to residential sensitive receptors using the annual PM₁₀ exhaust estimates from the EA’s CalEEMod output files, provided within the Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment (“GHG Assessment”) as Appendix F to the EA. Consistent with recommendations set forth by OEHHA, we assumed residential exposure begins during the third trimester stage of life.⁸ The EA’s CalEEMod model indicates that construction activities will generate approximately 125 pounds of DPM over the 363-day construction period.⁹ The AERSCREEN model relies on a continuous average emission rate to simulate maximum downward concentrations from point, area, and volume emission sources. To account for the variability in equipment usage and truck trips over Project construction, we calculated an average DPM emission rate by the following equation:

$$\text{Emission Rate} \left(\frac{\text{grams}}{\text{second}} \right) = \frac{124.6 \text{ lbs}}{363 \text{ days}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ grams}}{\text{lbs}} \times \frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{3,600 \text{ seconds}} = \mathbf{0.00180 \text{ g/s}}$$

Using this equation, we estimated a construction emission rate of 0.00180 grams per second (“g/s”). Subtracting the 363-day construction period from the total residential duration of 30 years, we assumed that after Project construction, the sensitive receptor would be exposed to the Project’s operational DPM for an additional 29.01 years. The EA’s operational CalEEMod emissions indicate that operational activities will generate approximately 340 pounds of DPM per year throughout operation. Applying the same equation used to estimate the construction DPM rate, we estimated the following emission rate for Project operation:

$$\text{Emission Rate} \left(\frac{\text{grams}}{\text{second}} \right) = \frac{340.0 \text{ lbs}}{365 \text{ days}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ grams}}{\text{lbs}} \times \frac{1 \text{ day}}{24 \text{ hours}} \times \frac{1 \text{ hour}}{3,600 \text{ seconds}} = \mathbf{0.00489 \text{ g/s}}$$

⁵ “AERSCREEN Released as the EPA Recommended Screening Model,” U.S. EPA, April 2011, *available at*:

http://www.epa.gov/ttn/scram/guidance/clarification/20110411_AERSCREEN_Release_Memo.pdf

⁶ “Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, *available at*: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>.

⁷ “Health Risk Assessments for Proposed Land Use Projects.” CAPCOA, July 2009, *available at*: http://www.capcoa.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/CAPCOA_HRA_LU_Guidelines_8-6-09.pdf.

⁸ “Risk Assessment Guidelines: Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, *available at*: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>, p. 8-18.

⁹ See Attachment A for health risk calculations.

Using this equation, we estimated an operational emission rate of 0.00489 g/s. Construction and operation were simulated as a 5.13-acre rectangular area source in AERSCREEN, with approximate dimensions of 204- by 102-meters. A release height of three meters was selected to represent the height of stacks of operational equipment and other heavy-duty vehicles, and an initial vertical dimension of one and a half meters was used to simulate instantaneous plume dispersion upon release. An urban meteorological setting was selected with model-default inputs for wind speed and direction distribution. The population of Santa Ana was obtained from U.S. 2020 Census data.¹⁰

The AERSCREEN model generates maximum reasonable estimates of single-hour DPM concentrations from the Project Site. The U.S. EPA suggests that the annualized average concentration of an air pollutant be estimated by multiplying the single-hour concentration by 10% in screening procedures.¹¹ According to the Air Quality Assessment (“AQA”), provided as Appendix A to the EA, the nearest sensitive receptor is located 700 feet, or 213 meters, from the Project site (p. 9). Thus, the single-hour concentration estimated by AERSCREEN for Project construction is approximately 1.049 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ DPM at approximately 200 meters downwind. Multiplying this single-hour concentration by 10%, we get an annualized average concentration of 0.1049 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for Project construction at the MEIR. For Project operation, the single-hour concentration estimated by AERSCREEN is 2.849 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ DPM at approximately 200 meters downwind. Multiplying this single-hour concentration by 10%, we get an annualized average concentration of 0.2849 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for Project operation at the MEIR.

We calculated the excess cancer risk to the MEIR using applicable HRA methodologies prescribed by OEHHA, as recommended by SCAQMD.¹² Specifically, guidance from OEHHA and the California Air Resources Board (“CARB”) recommends the use of a standard point estimate approach, including high-point estimate (i.e. 95th percentile) breathing rates and age sensitivity factors (“ASF”) in order to account for the increased sensitivity to carcinogens during early-in-life exposure and accurately assess risk for susceptible subpopulations such as children. The residential exposure parameters, such as the daily breathing rates (“BR/BW”), exposure duration (“ED”), age sensitivity factors (“ASF”), fraction of time at home (“FAH”), and exposure frequency (“EF”) utilized for the various age groups in our screening-level HRA are as follows:

¹⁰ “Santa Anna.” U.S. Census Bureau, 2020, *available at*: <https://datacommons.org/place/geoid/0669000>.

¹¹ “Screening Procedures for Estimating the Air Quality Impact of Stationary Sources Revised.” U.S. EPA, October 1992, *available at*: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/scram/guidance/guide/EPA-454R-92-019_OCR.pdf.

¹² “AB 2588 and Rule 1402 Supplemental Guidelines.” SCAQMD, October 2020, *available at*: <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/risk-assessment/ab-2588-supplemental-guidelines.pdf?sfvrsn=19>, p. 2.

Exposure Assumptions for Residential Individual Cancer Risk						
Age Group	Breathing Rate (L/kg-day) ¹³	Age Sensitivity Factor ¹⁴	Exposure Duration (years)	Fraction of Time at Home ¹⁵	Exposure Frequency (days/year) ¹⁶	Exposure Time (hours/day)
3rd Trimester	361	10	0.25	1	350	24
Infant (0 - 2)	1090	10	2	1	350	24
Child (2 - 16)	572	3	14	1	350	24
Adult (16 - 30)	261	1	14	0.73	350	24

For the inhalation pathway, the procedure requires the incorporation of several discrete variates to effectively quantify dose for each age group. Once determined, contaminant dose is multiplied by the cancer potency factor (“CPF”) in units of inverse dose expressed in milligrams per kilogram per day (mg/kg/day⁻¹) to derive the cancer risk estimate. Therefore, to assess exposures, we utilized the following dose algorithm:

$$Dose_{AIR, per\ age\ group} = C_{air} \times EF \times \left[\frac{BR}{BW} \right] \times A \times CF$$

where:

Dose_{AIR} = dose by inhalation (mg/kg/day), per age group
C_{air} = concentration of contaminant in air (µg/m³)
EF = exposure frequency (number of days/365 days)
BR/BW = daily breathing rate normalized to body weight (L/kg/day)
A = inhalation absorption factor (default = 1)
CF = conversion factor (1x10⁻⁶, µg to mg, L to m³)

To calculate the overall cancer risk, we used the following equation for each appropriate age group:

$$Cancer\ Risk_{AIR} = Dose_{AIR} \times CPF \times ASF \times FAH \times \frac{ED}{AT}$$

¹³ “Supplemental Guidelines for Preparing Risk Assessments for the Air Toxics ‘Hot Spots’ Information and Assessment Act.” SCAQMD, October 2020, available at: <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/risk-assessment/ab-2588-supplemental-guidelines.pdf?sfvrsn=19>, p. 19; see also “Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, available at: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>.

¹⁴ “Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, available at: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>, p. 8-5 Table 8.3.

¹⁵ “Risk Assessment Procedures.” SCAQMD, August 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/Proposed-Rules/1401/riskassessmentprocedures_2017_080717.pdf, p. 7.

¹⁶ “Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.” OEHHA, February 2015, available at: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/cnr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>, p. 5-24.

where:

Dose_{AIR} = dose by inhalation (mg/kg/day), per age group
 CPF = cancer potency factor, chemical-specific (mg/kg/day)⁻¹
 ASF = age sensitivity factor, per age group
 FAH = fraction of time at home, per age group (for residential receptors only)
 ED = exposure duration (years)
 AT = averaging time period over which exposure duration is averaged (always 70 years)

Consistent with the 363-day construction schedule, the annualized average concentration for construction was used for the entire third trimester of pregnancy (0.25 years), and the first 0.74 years of the infantile stage of life (0 – 2 years). The annualized average concentration for operation was used for the remainder of the 30-year exposure period, which makes up the latter 1.26 years of the infantile stage of life, as well as the entire child (2 – 16) and adult (16 – 30 years) stages of life. The results of our calculations are shown in the table below.

The Maximally Exposed Individual at an Existing Residential Receptor				
Age Group	Emissions Source	Duration (years)	Concentration (ug/m3)	Cancer Risk
3rd Trimester	Construction	0.25	0.1049	1.43E-06
	<i>Construction</i>	<i>0.74</i>	<i>0.1049</i>	<i>1.28E-05</i>
	<i>Operation</i>	<i>1.26</i>	<i>0.2849</i>	<i>5.87E-05</i>
Infant (0 - 2)	Total	2		7.16E-05
Child (2 - 16)	Operation	14	0.2849	1.03E-04
Adult (16 - 30)	Operation	14	0.2849	1.15E-05
Lifetime		30		1.88E-04

As demonstrated in the table above, the excess cancer risks for the 3rd trimester of pregnancy, infants, children, and adults at the MEIR located approximately 200 meters away, over the course of Project construction and operation, are approximately 1.43, 71.6, 103, and 11.5 in one million, respectively. The excess cancer risk over the course of a residential lifetime (30 years) is approximately 188 in one million. The infant, child, adult, and lifetime cancer risks exceed the SCAQMD threshold of 10 in one million, thus resulting in a potentially significant impact not previously addressed or identified by the EA.

Our analysis represents a screening-level HRA, which is known to be conservative and tends to err on the side of health protection. The purpose of the screening-level HRA is to demonstrate the potential link between Project-generated emissions and adverse health risk impacts. According to the U.S. EPA:

“EPA’s Exposure Assessment Guidelines recommend completing exposure assessments iteratively using a tiered approach to ‘strike a balance between the costs of adding detail and refinement to an assessment and the benefits associated with that additional refinement’ (U.S. EPA, 1992).

In other words, an assessment using basic tools (e.g., simple exposure calculations, default values, rules of thumb, conservative assumptions) can be conducted as the first phase (or tier) of the overall assessment (i.e., a screening-level assessment).

The exposure assessor or risk manager can then determine whether the results of the screening-level assessment warrant further evaluation through refinements of the input data and exposure assumptions or by using more advanced models.”

As demonstrated above, screening-level analyses warrant further evaluation in a refined modeling approach. Thus, as our screening-level HRA demonstrates that construction and operation of the Project could result in a potentially significant health risk impact, an EIR should be prepared to include a refined health risk analysis which adequately and accurately evaluates health risk impacts associated with both Project construction and operation.

Greenhouse Gas

Failure to Adequately Evaluate Greenhouse Gas Impacts

The Project claims an exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) pursuant to Guidelines Section 15183. Specifically, the Staff Report states:

“Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the CEQA Guidelines, the project is exempt from further review pursuant to 15183 of the CEQA Guidelines. This type of exemption analysis evaluates whether the potential environmental impacts of the proposed demolition of three office buildings, which total 105,558 square feet, and construction of a new 91,500 square foot light industrial warehousing building that would accommodate two tenants are addressed in the City of Santa Ana General Plan Update Final Recirculated Program Environmental Impact Report (GPU EIR).

As set forth in California Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21083.3 and State CEQA Guidelines Section 15183, projects that are “consistent with the development density established by the existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified shall not require additional environmental review, except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site” (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15183(a) and PRC Section 21083.3(b)). The State CEQA Guidelines further state that “[i]f an impact is not peculiar to the parcel or to the project, has been addressed as a significant effect in the prior EIR, or can be substantially mitigated by the imposition of uniformly applied development policies or standards [...] then an additional EIR need not be prepared for the project solely on the basis of that impact” (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15183(c))” (p. 2-6 – 2-7).

As demonstrated above, a Project is ineligible for an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15183 if “there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site.” The City determined that the Project would not result in any new significant effects not discussed in the GPU EIR. Furthermore, the EA concludes the Project would have a less-than-significant greenhouse gas (“GHG”) impact (p. 2-99 – 2-100). However, these claims are incorrect and subsequent environmental review is required pursuant to CEQA Guidelines 15183, as the Project’s GHG analysis is insufficient for the following two reasons:

- (1) The EA’s GHG analysis relies upon an outdated quantitative GHG threshold; and
- (2) The EA’s GHG analysis fails to identify a potentially significant GHG impact.

1) Incorrect Reliance on an Outdated Quantitative GHG Threshold

The EA estimates that the Project would generate net annual greenhouse gas (“GHG”) emissions of 1,668 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents per year (“MT CO₂e/year”), which would not exceed the SCAQMD threshold of 3,000 MT CO₂e/year (see excerpt below) (p. 2-99, Table GHG-2).

Table GHG-2: Proposed Project GHG Emissions

Emissions Source	MTCO₂e per Year
Area	0
Energy	121
Mobile	806
Off-road	625
Waste	22
Water	78
Amortized Construction Emissions	16
Total Annual Project GHG Emissions	1,668
<i>Threshold</i>	<i>3,000</i>
Exceeds Threshold?	No

Source: GHG Assessment (Appendix F)

However, the guidance that provided the 3,000 MT CO₂e/year threshold, the SCAQMD’s 2008 *Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold for Stationary Sources, Rules, and Plans* report, was developed when the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, commonly known as “AB 32”, was the governing statute for GHG reductions in California. AB 32 requires California to reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020.¹⁷ Furthermore, AEP guidance states:

¹⁷ “Health & Safety Code 38550.” California State Legislature, January 2007, *available at*: https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=HSC§ionNum=38550.

“[F]or evaluating projects with a post 2020 horizon, the threshold will need to be revised based on a new gap analysis that would examine 17 development and reduction potentials out to the next GHG reduction milestone.”¹⁸

As it is currently September 2022, thresholds for 2020 are not applicable to the proposed Project and should be revised to reflect the current GHG reduction target. As such, the SCAQMD bright-line threshold of 3,000 MT CO₂e/year is outdated and inapplicable to the proposed Project, and the EA’s less-than-significant GHG impact conclusion should not be relied upon. Instead, we recommend that the Project apply the SCAQMD 2035 service population efficiency target of 3.0 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents per service population per year (“MT CO₂e/SP/year”), which was calculated by applying a 40% reduction to the 2020 targets.¹⁹

2) Failure to Identify a Potentially Significant GHG Impact

In an effort to quantitatively evaluate the Project’s GHG emissions, we compared the Project’s GHG emissions, as estimated by the EA, to the SCAQMD 2035 efficiency target of 3.0 MT CO₂e/SP/year. When applying this threshold, the Project’s air model indicates a potentially significant GHG impact.

As previously stated, the EA estimates that the Project would generate net annual GHG emissions of 1,668 MT CO₂e/year (p. 2-99, Table GHG-2). According to CAPCOA’s *CEQA & Climate Change* report, a service population (“SP”) is defined as “the sum of the number of residents and the number of jobs supported by the project.”²⁰ The EA indicates that the Project would generate approximately 114 jobs (p. 2-124). As the proposed Project does not include any residential land uses, we estimate a SP of 114 people. When dividing the Project’s net annual GHG emissions, as estimated by the EA, by a SP of 114 people, we find that the Project would emit approximately 14.6 MT CO₂e/SP/year (see table below).²¹

EA Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
Annual Emissions (MT CO ₂ e/year)	1,668
Service Population	114
Service Population Efficiency (MT CO ₂ e/SP/year)	14.6
SCAQMD 2035 Target	3.0
<i>Exceeds?</i>	Yes

¹⁸ “Beyond Newhall and 2020: A Field Guide to New CEQA Greenhouse Gas Thresholds and Climate Action Plan Targets for California.” Association of Environmental Professionals (AEP), October 2016, available at: https://califaep.org/docs/AEP-2016_Final_White_Paper.pdf, p. 39.

¹⁹ “Minutes for the GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group #15.” SCAQMD, September 2010, available at: [http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-\(ghg\)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15-minutes.pdf](http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15-minutes.pdf), p. 2.

²⁰ “CEQA & Climate Change.” California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA), January 2008, available at: <http://www.capcoa.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/CAPCOA-White-Paper.pdf>, p. 71-72.

²¹ Calculated: (1,668 MT CO₂e/year) / (114 service population) = (14.6 MT CO₂e/SP/year).

As demonstrated above, the Project's service population efficiency value, as estimated by the EA's provided net annual GHG emission estimates and SP, exceeds the SCAQMD 2035 efficiency target of 3.0 MT CO₂e/SP/year, indicating a potentially significant impact not previously identified or addressed by the EA. As a result, the EA's less-than-significant GHG impact conclusion should not be relied upon. Thus, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15183, an EIR should be prepared, including an updated GHG analysis and incorporating additional mitigation measures to reduce the Project's GHG emissions to less-than-significant levels.

Mitigation

Feasible Mitigation Measures Available to Reduce Emissions

Our analysis demonstrates that the Project would result in potentially significant health risk and GHG impacts that should be mitigated further. In an effort to reduce the Project's emissions, we identified several mitigation measures that are applicable to the proposed Project. Feasible mitigation measures can be found in the Department of Justice Warehouse Project Best Practices document.²² Therefore, to reduce the Project's emissions, consideration of the following measures should be made:

- Requiring off-road construction equipment to be zero-emission, where available, and all diesel-fueled off-road construction equipment, to be equipped with CARB Tier IV-compliant engines or better, and including this requirement in applicable bid documents, purchase orders, and contracts, with successful contractors demonstrating the ability to supply the compliant construction equipment for use prior to any ground-disturbing and construction activities.
- Prohibiting off-road diesel-powered equipment from being in the "on" position for more than 10 hours per day.
- Requiring on-road heavy-duty haul trucks to be model year 2010 or newer if diesel-fueled.
- Providing electrical hook ups to the power grid, rather than use of diesel-fueled generators, for electric construction tools, such as saws, drills and compressors, and using electric tools whenever feasible.
- Limiting the amount of daily grading disturbance area.
- Prohibiting grading on days with an Air Quality Index forecast of greater than 100 for particulates or ozone for the project area.
- Forbidding idling of heavy equipment for more than two minutes.
- Keeping onsite and furnishing to the lead agency or other regulators upon request, all equipment maintenance records and data sheets, including design specifications and emission control tier classifications.
- Conducting an on-site inspection to verify compliance with construction mitigation and to identify other opportunities to further reduce construction impacts.
- Using paints, architectural coatings, and industrial maintenance coatings that have volatile organic compound levels of less than 10 g/L.

²² "Warehouse Projects: Best Practices and Mitigation Measures to Comply with the California Environmental Quality Act." State of California Department of Justice, *available at*: <https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/environment/warehouse-best-practices.pdf>, p. 6 – 9.

- Providing information on transit and ridesharing programs and services to construction employees.
- Providing meal options onsite or shuttles between the facility and nearby meal destinations for construction employees.
- Requiring that all facility-owned and operated fleet equipment with a gross vehicle weight rating greater than 14,000 pounds accessing the site meet or exceed 2010 model-year emissions equivalent engine standards as currently defined in California Code of Regulations Title 13, Division 3, Chapter 1, Article 4.5, Section 2025. Facility operators shall maintain records on-site demonstrating compliance with this requirement and shall make records available for inspection by the local jurisdiction, air district, and state upon request.
- Requiring all heavy-duty vehicles entering or operated on the project site to be zero-emission beginning in 2030.
- Requiring on-site equipment, such as forklifts and yard trucks, to be electric with the necessary electrical charging stations provided.
- Requiring tenants to use zero-emission light- and medium-duty vehicles as part of business operations.
- Forbidding trucks from idling for more than two minutes and requiring operators to turn off engines when not in use.
- Posting both interior- and exterior-facing signs, including signs directed at all dock and delivery areas, identifying idling restrictions and contact information to report violations to CARB, the air district, and the building manager.
- Installing and maintaining, at the manufacturer's recommended maintenance intervals, air filtration systems at sensitive receptors within a certain radius of facility for the life of the project.
- Installing and maintaining, at the manufacturer's recommended maintenance intervals, an air monitoring station proximate to sensitive receptors and the facility for the life of the project, and making the resulting data publicly available in real time. While air monitoring does not mitigate the air quality or greenhouse gas impacts of a facility, it nonetheless benefits the affected community by providing information that can be used to improve air quality or avoid exposure to unhealthy air.
- Constructing electric truck charging stations proportional to the number of dock doors at the project.
- Constructing electric plugs for electric transport refrigeration units at every dock door, if the warehouse use could include refrigeration.
- Constructing electric light-duty vehicle charging stations proportional to the number of parking spaces at the project.
- Installing solar photovoltaic systems on the project site of a specified electrical generation capacity, such as equal to the building's projected energy needs.
- Requiring all stand-by emergency generators to be powered by a non-diesel fuel.
- Requiring facility operators to train managers and employees on efficient scheduling and load management to eliminate unnecessary queuing and idling of trucks.

- Requiring operators to establish and promote a rideshare program that discourages single-occupancy vehicle trips and provides financial incentives for alternate modes of transportation, including carpooling, public transit, and biking.
- Meeting CalGreen Tier 2 green building standards, including all provisions related to designated parking for clean air vehicles, electric vehicle charging, and bicycle parking.
- Achieving certification of compliance with LEED green building standards.
- Providing meal options onsite or shuttles between the facility and nearby meal destinations.
- Posting signs at every truck exit driveway providing directional information to the truck route.
- Improving and maintaining vegetation and tree canopy for residents in and around the project area.
- Requiring that every tenant train its staff in charge of keeping vehicle records in diesel technologies and compliance with CARB regulations, by attending CARB-approved courses. Also require facility operators to maintain records on-site demonstrating compliance and make records available for inspection by the local jurisdiction, air district, and state upon request.
- Requiring tenants to enroll in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's SmartWay program, and requiring tenants to use carriers that are SmartWay carriers.
- Providing tenants with information on incentive programs, such as the Carl Moyer Program and Voucher Incentive Program, to upgrade their fleets.

These measures offer a cost-effective, feasible way to incorporate lower-emitting design features into the proposed Project, which subsequently, reduce emissions released during Project construction and operation. An EIR should be prepared to include all feasible mitigation measures, as well as include updated health risk and GHG analyses to ensure that the necessary mitigation measures are implemented to reduce emissions to below thresholds. The EIR should also demonstrate a commitment to the implementation of these measures prior to Project approval, to ensure that the Project's significant emissions are reduced to the maximum extent possible.

Disclaimer

SWAPE has received limited discovery regarding this project. Additional information may become available in the future; thus, we retain the right to revise or amend this report when additional information becomes available. Our professional services have been performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable environmental consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time of service. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the scope of work, work methodologies and protocols, site conditions, analytical testing results, and findings presented. This report reflects efforts which were limited to information that was reasonably accessible at the time of the work, and may contain informational gaps, inconsistencies, or otherwise be incomplete due to the unavailability or uncertainty of information obtained or provided by third parties.

Sincerely,



Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.



Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Attachment A: Health Risk Calculations
Attachment B: AERSCREEN Output Files
Attachment C: Matt Hagemann CV
Attachment D: Paul Rosenfeld CV

Construction		Operation	
2021		Emission Rate	
Annual Emissions (tons/year)	0.0792	Annual Emissions (tons/year)	0.17
Daily Emissions (lbs/day)	0.433972603	Daily Emissions (lbs/day)	0.931506849
Construction Duration (days)	184	Total DPM (lbs)	340
Total DPM (lbs)	79.8509589	Emission Rate (g/s)	0.004890411
Total DPM (g)	36220.39496	Release Height (meters)	3
Start Date	7/1/2021	Total Acreage	5.13
End Date	1/1/2022	Max Horizontal (meters)	203.77
Construction Days	184	Min Horizontal (meters)	101.88
2022		Initial Vertical Dimension (meters)	1.5
Annual Emissions (tons/year)	0.0456	Setting	Urban
Daily Emissions (lbs/day)	0.249863014	Population	309,441
Construction Duration (days)	179		
Total DPM (lbs)	44.72547945		
Total DPM (g)	20287.47748		
Start Date	1/1/2022		
End Date	6/29/2022		
Construction Days	179		
Total			
Total DPM (lbs)	124.5764384		
Total DPM (g)	56507.87244		
Emission Rate (g/s)	0.001801725		
Release Height (meters)	3		
Total Acreage	5.13		
Max Horizontal (meters)	203.77		
Min Horizontal (meters)	101.88		
Initial Vertical Dimension (meters)	1.5		
Setting	Urban		
Population	309,441		
Start Date	7/1/2021		
End Date	6/29/2022		
Total Construction Days	363		
Total Years of Construction	0.99		
Total Years of Operation	29.01		

Start date and time 09/20/22 13:21:17

AERSCREEN 21112

Gary Avenue Business Park, Construction

Gary Avenue Business Park, Construction

----- DATA ENTRY VALIDATION -----

METRIC

ENGLISH

** AREADATA **

Emission Rate: 0.180E-02 g/s 0.143E-01 lb/hr

Area Height: 3.00 meters 9.84 feet

Area Source Length: 203.77 meters 668.54 feet

Area Source Width: 101.88 meters 334.25 feet

Vertical Dimension: 1.50 meters 4.92 feet

Model Mode: URBAN

Population: 309441

Dist to Ambient Air: 1.0 meters 3. feet

** BUILDING DATA **

No Building Downwash Parameters

** TERRAIN DATA **

No Terrain Elevations

Source Base Elevation: 0.0 meters 0.0 feet

Probe distance: 5000. meters 16404. feet

No flagpole receptors

No discrete receptors used

** FUMIGATION DATA **

No fumigation requested

** METEOROLOGY DATA **

Min/Max Temperature: 250.0 / 310.0 K -9.7 / 98.3 Deg F

Minimum Wind Speed: 0.5 m/s

Anemometer Height: 10.000 meters

Dominant Surface Profile: Urban

Dominant Climate Type: Average Moisture

Surface friction velocity (u^*): not adjusted

DEBUG OPTION ON

AERSCREEN output file:

2022.09.20_AERSCREEN_GaryAveBusinessPark_Construction.out

*** AERSCREEN Run is Ready to Begin

No terrain used, AERMAP will not be run

SURFACE CHARACTERISTICS & MAKEMET

Obtaining surface characteristics...

Using AERMET seasonal surface characteristics for Urban with Average Moisture

Season	Albedo	Bo	zo
Winter	0.35	1.50	1.000
Spring	0.14	1.00	1.000
Summer	0.16	2.00	1.000
Autumn	0.18	2.00	1.000

Creating met files aerscreen_01_01.sfc & aerscreen_01_01.pfl

Creating met files aerscreen_02_01.sfc & aerscreen_02_01.pfl

Creating met files aerscreen_03_01.sfc & aerscreen_03_01.pfl

Creating met files aerscreen_04_01.sfc & aerscreen_04_01.pfl

Buildings and/or terrain present or rectangular area source, skipping probe

FLOWSECTOR started 09/20/22 13:25:18

Running AERMOD

Processing Winter

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Running AERMOD

Processing Spring

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Running AERMOD

Processing Summer

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Running AERMOD

Processing Autumn

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

FLOWSECTOR ended 09/20/22 13:25:28

REFINE started 09/20/22 13:25:28

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for REFINE stage 3 Winter sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

REFINE ended 09/20/22 13:25:29

AERSCREEN Finished Successfully

With no errors or warnings

Check log file for details

Ending date and time 09/20/22 13:25:30

Concentration			Distance		Elevation	Diag	Season/Month		Zo sector		Date	
H0	U*	W*	DT/DZ	ZICNV	ZIMCH	M-O	LEN	Z0	BOWEN	ALBEDO	REF	WS
REF	TA	HT										HT
	0.21811E+01		1.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.23810E+01		25.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.25483E+01		50.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.26879E+01		75.00		0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.28382E+01		100.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
*	0.28493E+01		103.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.21693E+01		125.00		0.00	20.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.15454E+01		150.00		0.00	15.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.12544E+01		175.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.10495E+01		200.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.89655E+00		225.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.77806E+00		250.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.68454E+00		275.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.60894E+00		300.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.54643E+00		325.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.49474E+00		350.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0

310.0	2.0										
0.45072E+00	375.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.41319E+00	400.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.38070E+00	425.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.35233E+00	450.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.32761E+00	475.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.30590E+00	500.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.28629E+00	525.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.26873E+00	550.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.25302E+00	575.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.23890E+00	600.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.22613E+00	625.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.21443E+00	650.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.20373E+00	675.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.19395E+00	700.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.18497E+00	725.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.17666E+00	750.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
0.16897E+00	775.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001					

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.16186E+00		800.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.15526E+00		825.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14912E+00		850.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14333E+00		875.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.13793E+00		900.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.13288E+00		925.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.12815E+00		950.01		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.12370E+00		975.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11952E+00		1000.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11558E+00		1025.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11186E+00		1050.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10835E+00		1075.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10503E+00		1100.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10189E+00		1125.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.98893E-01		1150.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043										

0.93336E-01	1200.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.90760E-01	1225.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.88304E-01	1250.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.85947E-01	1275.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.83698E-01	1300.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.81549E-01	1325.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.79495E-01	1350.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.77530E-01	1375.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.75650E-01	1400.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.73850E-01	1425.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.72121E-01	1450.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.70460E-01	1475.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.68866E-01	1500.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.67333E-01	1525.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.65860E-01	1550.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.64441E-01	1575.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.63076E-01	1600.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		

310.0	2.0											
0.61759E-01	1625.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.60491E-01	1650.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.59267E-01	1675.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.58086E-01	1700.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.56945E-01	1725.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.55843E-01	1750.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.54775E-01	1775.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.53743E-01	1800.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.52746E-01	1825.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.51781E-01	1850.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.50847E-01	1875.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.50272E-01	1900.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.49380E-01	1925.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.48514E-01	1950.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.47675E-01	1975.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.46860E-01	2000.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.46069E-01	2025.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.45301E-01		2050.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.44555E-01		2075.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.43830E-01		2100.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.43125E-01		2125.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.42440E-01		2150.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.41773E-01		2175.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.41124E-01		2200.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.40493E-01		2225.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.39878E-01		2250.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.39279E-01		2275.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38695E-01		2300.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38126E-01		2325.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.37572E-01		2350.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.37031E-01		2375.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.36504E-01		2400.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0										

0.35488E-01	2450.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.34998E-01	2475.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.34519E-01	2500.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.34052E-01	2525.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.33596E-01	2550.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.33150E-01	2575.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.32714E-01	2600.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.32289E-01	2625.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.31872E-01	2650.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.31465E-01	2675.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.31067E-01	2700.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.30677E-01	2725.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.30296E-01	2750.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.29923E-01	2775.00	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.29558E-01	2800.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.29200E-01	2825.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.28850E-01	2850.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		

310.0	2.0											
0.28508E-01	2875.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.28172E-01	2900.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.27842E-01	2925.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.27520E-01	2950.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.27204E-01	2975.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.26894E-01	3000.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.26590E-01	3025.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.26292E-01	3050.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.26000E-01	3074.99	0.00	20.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.25714E-01	3100.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.25432E-01	3125.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.25157E-01	3150.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.24886E-01	3175.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.24620E-01	3200.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.24359E-01	3225.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.24103E-01	3250.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.23852E-01	3275.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.23605E-01		3300.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.23362E-01		3325.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.23124E-01		3350.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.22890E-01		3375.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.22660E-01		3400.00		0.00	20.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.22434E-01		3425.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.22212E-01		3450.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.21993E-01		3475.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.21778E-01		3500.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.21567E-01		3525.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.21360E-01		3550.00		0.00	25.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.21156E-01		3575.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.20955E-01		3600.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.20757E-01		3625.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.20563E-01		3650.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30											

0.20184E-01	3700.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19999E-01	3725.00	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19816E-01	3750.00	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19637E-01	3775.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19460E-01	3800.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19287E-01	3825.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19116E-01	3849.99	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18947E-01	3875.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18781E-01	3900.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18617E-01	3925.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18457E-01	3950.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18298E-01	3975.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18142E-01	4000.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17988E-01	4025.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17836E-01	4050.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17686E-01	4075.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17539E-01	4100.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		

310.0	2.0											
0.17394E-01	4125.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.17250E-01	4150.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.17109E-01	4175.00	0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16970E-01	4200.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16833E-01	4225.00	0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16697E-01	4250.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16564E-01	4275.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16432E-01	4300.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16303E-01	4325.00	0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16175E-01	4350.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.16048E-01	4375.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.15924E-01	4400.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.15801E-01	4425.00	0.00	10.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.15679E-01	4450.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.15560E-01	4475.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.15442E-01	4500.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.15325E-01	4525.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.15210E-01		4550.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.15096E-01		4575.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14984E-01		4600.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14873E-01		4625.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14764E-01		4650.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14656E-01		4675.00		0.00	20.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14550E-01		4700.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14445E-01		4725.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14341E-01		4750.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14238E-01		4775.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14137E-01		4800.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.14037E-01		4825.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.13938E-01		4850.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.13840E-01		4875.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.13744E-01		4900.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30											

0.13554E-01	4950.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.13461E-01	4975.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.13369E-01	5000.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						

Start date and time 09/20/22 13:25:41

AERSCREEN 21112

Gary Avenue Business Park, Operation

Gary Avenue Business Park, Operation

----- DATA ENTRY VALIDATION -----

METRIC

ENGLISH

** AREADATA **

Emission Rate: 0.489E-02 g/s 0.388E-01 lb/hr

Area Height: 3.00 meters 9.84 feet

Area Source Length: 203.77 meters 668.54 feet

Area Source Width: 101.88 meters 334.25 feet

Vertical Dimension: 1.50 meters 4.92 feet

Model Mode: URBAN

Population: 309441

Dist to Ambient Air: 1.0 meters 3. feet

** BUILDING DATA **

No Building Downwash Parameters

** TERRAIN DATA **

No Terrain Elevations

Source Base Elevation: 0.0 meters 0.0 feet

Probe distance: 5000. meters 16404. feet

No flagpole receptors

No discrete receptors used

** FUMIGATION DATA **

No fumigation requested

** METEOROLOGY DATA **

Min/Max Temperature: 250.0 / 310.0 K -9.7 / 98.3 Deg F

Minimum Wind Speed: 0.5 m/s

Anemometer Height: 10.000 meters

Dominant Surface Profile: Urban

Dominant Climate Type: Average Moisture

Surface friction velocity (u^*): not adjusted

DEBUG OPTION ON

AERSCREEN output file:

2022.09.20_AERSCREEN_GaryAveBusinessPark_Operation.out

*** AERSCREEN Run is Ready to Begin

No terrain used, AERMAP will not be run

SURFACE CHARACTERISTICS & MAKEMET

Obtaining surface characteristics...

Using AERMET seasonal surface characteristics for Urban with Average Moisture

Season	Albedo	Bo	zo
Winter	0.35	1.50	1.000
Spring	0.14	1.00	1.000
Summer	0.16	2.00	1.000
Autumn	0.18	2.00	1.000

Creating met files aerscreen_01_01.sfc & aerscreen_01_01.pfl

Creating met files aerscreen_02_01.sfc & aerscreen_02_01.pfl

Creating met files aerscreen_03_01.sfc & aerscreen_03_01.pfl

Creating met files aerscreen_04_01.sfc & aerscreen_04_01.pfl

Buildings and/or terrain present or rectangular area source, skipping probe

FLOWSECTOR started 09/20/22 13:32:12

Running AERMOD

Processing Winter

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Winter sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Running AERMOD

Processing Spring

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Spring sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Running AERMOD

Processing Summer

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Summer sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Running AERMOD

Processing Autumn

Processing surface roughness sector 1

Processing wind flow sector 1

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 2

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 5

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 3

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 10

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 4

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 15

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 5

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 20

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 6

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 25

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

Processing wind flow sector 7

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for FLOWSECTOR stage 2 Autumn sector 30

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

FLOWSECTOR ended 09/20/22 13:32:21

REFINE started 09/20/22 13:32:21

AERMOD Finishes Successfully for REFINE stage 3 Winter sector 0

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****

*** NONE ***

REFINE ended 09/20/22 13:32:23

AERSCREEN Finished Successfully

With no errors or warnings

Check log file for details

Ending date and time 09/20/22 13:32:24

Concentration			Distance		Elevation	Diag	Season/Month		Zo sector		Date	
H0	U*	W*	DT/DZ	ZICNV	ZIMCH	M-O	LEN	Z0	BOWEN	ALBEDO	REF	WS
REF	TA	HT										HT
	0.59207E+01		1.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.64635E+01		25.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.69177E+01		50.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.72965E+01		75.00		0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.77045E+01		100.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
*	0.77347E+01		103.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.58887E+01		125.00		0.00	20.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.41951E+01		150.00		0.00	15.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.34051E+01		175.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.28489E+01		200.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.24338E+01		225.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.21121E+01		250.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.18583E+01		275.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.16530E+01		300.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.14834E+01		325.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0											
	0.13430E+01		350.00		0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360		10011001
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0

310.0	2.0											
0.12235E+01	375.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.11216E+01	400.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.10335E+01	425.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.95643E+00	450.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.88932E+00	475.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.83039E+00	500.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.77716E+00	525.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.72950E+00	550.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.68686E+00	575.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.64851E+00	600.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.61386E+00	625.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.58210E+00	650.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.55305E+00	675.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.52649E+00	700.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.50213E+00	725.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.47956E+00	750.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.45869E+00	775.00	0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001					

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.43938E+00		800.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.42147E+00		825.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.40480E+00		850.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38909E+00		875.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.37443E+00		900.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.36071E+00		925.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.34787E+00		950.01		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.33580E+00		975.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.32444E+00		1000.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.31375E+00		1025.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.30366E+00		1050.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.29414E+00		1075.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.28513E+00		1100.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.27659E+00		1125.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.26846E+00		1150.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043										

0.25337E+00	1200.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.24638E+00	1225.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.23971E+00	1250.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.23331E+00	1275.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.22721E+00	1300.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.22137E+00	1325.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.21580E+00	1350.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.21046E+00	1375.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.20536E+00	1400.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.20047E+00	1425.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19578E+00	1450.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.19127E+00	1475.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18694E+00	1500.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.18278E+00	1525.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17878E+00	1550.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17493E+00	1575.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.17122E+00	1600.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		

310.0	2.0											
	0.16765E+00		1625.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.16421E+00		1650.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.16089E+00		1675.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.15768E+00		1700.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.15458E+00		1725.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.15159E+00		1750.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.14869E+00		1775.00	0.00	5.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.14589E+00		1800.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.14319E+00		1825.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.14057E+00		1850.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.13803E+00		1875.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.13647E+00		1900.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.13405E+00		1925.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.13170E+00		1950.00	0.00	0.0		Winter		0-360	10011001		
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.		6.0 1.000 1.50		0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
	0.12942E+00		1975.00	0.00	0.0							

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.12297E+00		2050.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.12095E+00		2075.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11898E+00		2100.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11707E+00		2125.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11521E+00		2150.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11340E+00		2175.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.11164E+00		2200.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10992E+00		2225.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10825E+00		2250.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10663E+00		2275.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10504E+00		2300.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10350E+00		2325.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10199E+00		2350.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.10052E+00		2375.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.99094E-01		2400.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0										

0.96335E-01	2450.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.95005E-01	2475.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.93706E-01	2500.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.92438E-01	2525.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.91199E-01	2550.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.89989E-01	2575.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.88807E-01	2600.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.87651E-01	2625.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.86520E-01	2650.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.85415E-01	2675.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.84334E-01	2700.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.83277E-01	2725.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.82242E-01	2750.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.81229E-01	2775.00	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.80238E-01	2800.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.79267E-01	2825.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.78317E-01	2850.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		

310.0	2.0											
0.77386E-01	2875.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.76475E-01	2900.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.75581E-01	2925.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.74706E-01	2950.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.73848E-01	2975.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.73007E-01	3000.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.72182E-01	3025.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.71373E-01	3050.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.70580E-01	3074.99	0.00	20.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.69802E-01	3100.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.69039E-01	3125.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.68290E-01	3150.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.67555E-01	3174.99	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.66834E-01	3199.99	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.66126E-01	3225.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.65431E-01	3250.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.64748E-01	3275.00	0.00	20.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.64078E-01		3300.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.63419E-01		3325.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.62772E-01		3350.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.62137E-01		3375.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.61512E-01		3400.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.60899E-01		3425.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.60296E-01		3450.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.59703E-01		3475.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.59120E-01		3500.00		0.00	20.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.58547E-01		3525.00		0.00	25.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.57983E-01		3550.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.57429E-01		3575.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.56884E-01		3600.00		0.00	15.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.56348E-01		3625.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.55820E-01		3650.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30											

0.54791E-01	3700.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.54288E-01	3725.00	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.53794E-01	3750.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.53307E-01	3775.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.52827E-01	3800.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.52356E-01	3825.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.51891E-01	3849.99	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.51433E-01	3875.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.50983E-01	3900.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.50539E-01	3925.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.50102E-01	3950.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.49671E-01	3975.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.49247E-01	4000.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.48829E-01	4025.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.48417E-01	4050.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.48011E-01	4075.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.47611E-01	4100.00	0.00	25.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		

310.0	2.0											
0.47217E-01	4125.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.46828E-01	4150.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.46445E-01	4175.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.46067E-01	4200.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.45694E-01	4225.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.45327E-01	4250.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.44965E-01	4275.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.44608E-01	4300.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.44255E-01	4325.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.43908E-01	4350.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.43565E-01	4375.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.43226E-01	4400.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.42893E-01	4425.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.42563E-01	4450.00	0.00	10.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.42238E-01	4475.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.41918E-01	4500.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0	
310.0	2.0											
0.41601E-01	4525.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001						

1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.41289E-01		4550.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.40980E-01		4575.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.40676E-01		4600.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.40376E-01		4625.00		0.00	25.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.40079E-01		4650.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.39786E-01		4675.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.39497E-01		4700.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.39211E-01		4725.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38929E-01		4750.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38651E-01		4775.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38376E-01		4800.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.38104E-01		4825.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.37836E-01		4850.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.37570E-01		4875.00		0.00	0.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30	0.043	-9.000	0.020	-999.	21.	6.0	1.000	1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0
310.0	2.0										
	0.37308E-01		4900.00		0.00	5.0		Winter	0-360	10011001	
-1.30											

0.36794E-01	4950.00	0.00	5.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.36541E-01	4975.00	0.00	15.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						
0.36291E-01	5000.00	0.00	0.0	Winter	0-360	10011001
-1.30 0.043 -9.000 0.020 -999. 21.	6.0 1.000 1.50	0.35	0.50	10.0		
310.0 2.0						



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and
Litigation Support for the Environment

2656 29th Street, Suite 201
Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.
(949) 887-9013
mhagemann@swape.com

Matthew F. Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg., QSD, QSP

**Geologic and Hydrogeologic Characterization
Investigation and Remediation Strategies
Litigation Support and Testifying Expert
Industrial Stormwater Compliance
CEQA Review**

Education:

M.S. Degree, Geology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 1984.

B.A. Degree, Geology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, 1982.

Professional Certifications:

California Professional Geologist

California Certified Hydrogeologist

Qualified SWPPP Developer and Practitioner

Professional Experience:

Matt has 30 years of experience in environmental policy, contaminant assessment and remediation, stormwater compliance, and CEQA review. He spent nine years with the U.S. EPA in the RCRA and Superfund programs and served as EPA's Senior Science Policy Advisor in the Western Regional Office where he identified emerging threats to groundwater from perchlorate and MTBE. While with EPA, Matt also served as a Senior Hydrogeologist in the oversight of the assessment of seven major military facilities undergoing base closure. He led numerous enforcement actions under provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and directed efforts to improve hydrogeologic characterization and water quality monitoring. For the past 15 years, as a founding partner with SWAPE, Matt has developed extensive client relationships and has managed complex projects that include consultation as an expert witness and a regulatory specialist, and a manager of projects ranging from industrial stormwater compliance to CEQA review of impacts from hazardous waste, air quality and greenhouse gas emissions.

Positions Matt has held include:

- Founding Partner, Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE) (2003 – present);
- Geology Instructor, Golden West College, 2010 – 2014, 2017;
- Senior Environmental Analyst, Komex H₂O Science, Inc. (2000 -- 2003);

- Executive Director, Orange Coast Watch (2001 – 2004);
- Senior Science Policy Advisor and Hydrogeologist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1989–1998);
- Hydrogeologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division (1998 – 2000);
- Adjunct Faculty Member, San Francisco State University, Department of Geosciences (1993 – 1998);
- Instructor, College of Marin, Department of Science (1990 – 1995);
- Geologist, U.S. Forest Service (1986 – 1998); and
- Geologist, Dames & Moore (1984 – 1986).

Senior Regulatory and Litigation Support Analyst:

With SWAPE, Matt’s responsibilities have included:

- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of over 300 environmental impact reports and negative declarations since 2003 under CEQA that identify significant issues with regard to hazardous waste, water resources, water quality, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, and geologic hazards. Make recommendations for additional mitigation measures to lead agencies at the local and county level to include additional characterization of health risks and implementation of protective measures to reduce worker exposure to hazards from toxins and Valley Fever.
- Stormwater analysis, sampling and best management practice evaluation at more than 100 industrial facilities.
- Expert witness on numerous cases including, for example, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) contamination of groundwater, MTBE litigation, air toxins at hazards at a school, CERCLA compliance in assessment and remediation, and industrial stormwater contamination.
- Technical assistance and litigation support for vapor intrusion concerns.
- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of environmental issues in license applications for large solar power plants before the California Energy Commission.
- Manager of a project to evaluate numerous formerly used military sites in the western U.S.
- Manager of a comprehensive evaluation of potential sources of perchlorate contamination in Southern California drinking water wells.
- Manager and designated expert for litigation support under provisions of Proposition 65 in the review of releases of gasoline to sources drinking water at major refineries and hundreds of gas stations throughout California.

With Komex H2O Science Inc., Matt’s duties included the following:

- Senior author of a report on the extent of perchlorate contamination that was used in testimony by the former U.S. EPA Administrator and General Counsel.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of MTBE use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of perchlorate use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in a study that estimates nationwide costs for MTBE remediation and drinking water treatment, results of which were published in newspapers nationwide and in testimony against provisions of an energy bill that would limit liability for oil companies.
- Research to support litigation to restore drinking water supplies that have been contaminated by MTBE in California and New York.

- Expert witness testimony in a case of oil production-related contamination in Mississippi.
- Lead author for a multi-volume remedial investigation report for an operating school in Los Angeles that met strict regulatory requirements and rigorous deadlines.
- Development of strategic approaches for cleanup of contaminated sites in consultation with clients and regulators.

Executive Director:

As Executive Director with Orange Coast Watch, Matt led efforts to restore water quality at Orange County beaches from multiple sources of contamination including urban runoff and the discharge of wastewater. In reporting to a Board of Directors that included representatives from leading Orange County universities and businesses, Matt prepared issue papers in the areas of treatment and disinfection of wastewater and control of the discharge of grease to sewer systems. Matt actively participated in the development of countywide water quality permits for the control of urban runoff and permits for the discharge of wastewater. Matt worked with other nonprofits to protect and restore water quality, including Surfrider, Natural Resources Defense Council and Orange County CoastKeeper as well as with business institutions including the Orange County Business Council.

Hydrogeology:

As a Senior Hydrogeologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Matt led investigations to characterize and cleanup closing military bases, including Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, Treasure Island Naval Station, Alameda Naval Station, Moffett Field, Mather Army Airfield, and Sacramento Army Depot. Specific activities were as follows:

- Led efforts to model groundwater flow and contaminant transport, ensured adequacy of monitoring networks, and assessed cleanup alternatives for contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater.
- Initiated a regional program for evaluation of groundwater sampling practices and laboratory analysis at military bases.
- Identified emerging issues, wrote technical guidance, and assisted in policy and regulation development through work on four national U.S. EPA workgroups, including the Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum and the Federal Facilities Forum.

At the request of the State of Hawaii, Matt developed a methodology to determine the vulnerability of groundwater to contamination on the islands of Maui and Oahu. He used analytical models and a GIS to show zones of vulnerability, and the results were adopted and published by the State of Hawaii and County of Maui.

As a hydrogeologist with the EPA Groundwater Protection Section, Matt worked with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and NEPA to prevent drinking water contamination. Specific activities included the following:

- Received an EPA Bronze Medal for his contribution to the development of national guidance for the protection of drinking water.
- Managed the Sole Source Aquifer Program and protected the drinking water of two communities through designation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. He prepared geologic reports, conducted

public hearings, and responded to public comments from residents who were very concerned about the impact of designation.

- Reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements for planned major developments, including large hazardous and solid waste disposal facilities, mine reclamation, and water transfer.

Matt served as a hydrogeologist with the RCRA Hazardous Waste program. Duties were as follows:

- Supervised the hydrogeologic investigation of hazardous waste sites to determine compliance with Subtitle C requirements.
- Reviewed and wrote "part B" permits for the disposal of hazardous waste.
- Conducted RCRA Corrective Action investigations of waste sites and led inspections that formed the basis for significant enforcement actions that were developed in close coordination with U.S. EPA legal counsel.
- Wrote contract specifications and supervised contractor's investigations of waste sites.

With the National Park Service, Matt directed service-wide investigations of contaminant sources to prevent degradation of water quality, including the following tasks:

- Applied pertinent laws and regulations including CERCLA, RCRA, NEPA, NRDA, and the Clean Water Act to control military, mining, and landfill contaminants.
- Conducted watershed-scale investigations of contaminants at parks, including Yellowstone and Olympic National Park.
- Identified high-levels of perchlorate in soil adjacent to a national park in New Mexico and advised park superintendent on appropriate response actions under CERCLA.
- Served as a Park Service representative on the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee, a national workgroup.
- Developed a program to conduct environmental compliance audits of all National Parks while serving on a national workgroup.
- Co-authored two papers on the potential for water contamination from the operation of personal watercraft and snowmobiles, these papers serving as the basis for the development of nation-wide policy on the use of these vehicles in National Parks.
- Contributed to the Federal Multi-Agency Source Water Agreement under the Clean Water Action Plan.

Policy:

Served senior management as the Senior Science Policy Advisor with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9.

Activities included the following:

- Advised the Regional Administrator and senior management on emerging issues such as the potential for the gasoline additive MTBE and ammonium perchlorate to contaminate drinking water supplies.
- Shaped EPA's national response to these threats by serving on workgroups and by contributing to guidance, including the Office of Research and Development publication, *Oxygenates in Water: Critical Information and Research Needs*.
- Improved the technical training of EPA's scientific and engineering staff.
- Earned an EPA Bronze Medal for representing the region's 300 scientists and engineers in negotiations with the Administrator and senior management to better integrate scientific

principles into the policy-making process.

- Established national protocol for the peer review of scientific documents.

Geology:

With the U.S. Forest Service, Matt led investigations to determine hillslope stability of areas proposed for timber harvest in the central Oregon Coast Range. Specific activities were as follows:

- Mapped geology in the field, and used aerial photographic interpretation and mathematical models to determine slope stability.
- Coordinated his research with community members who were concerned with natural resource protection.
- Characterized the geology of an aquifer that serves as the sole source of drinking water for the city of Medford, Oregon.

As a consultant with Dames and Moore, Matt led geologic investigations of two contaminated sites (later listed on the Superfund NPL) in the Portland, Oregon, area and a large hazardous waste site in eastern Oregon. Duties included the following:

- Supervised year-long effort for soil and groundwater sampling.
- Conducted aquifer tests.
- Investigated active faults beneath sites proposed for hazardous waste disposal.

Teaching:

From 1990 to 1998, Matt taught at least one course per semester at the community college and university levels:

- At San Francisco State University, held an adjunct faculty position and taught courses in environmental geology, oceanography (lab and lecture), hydrogeology, and groundwater contamination.
- Served as a committee member for graduate and undergraduate students.
- Taught courses in environmental geology and oceanography at the College of Marin.

Matt is currently a part time geology instructor at Golden West College in Huntington Beach, California where he taught from 2010 to 2014 and in 2017.

Invited Testimony, Reports, Papers and Presentations:

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Presentation to the Public Environmental Law Conference, Eugene, Oregon.

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Invited presentation to U.S. EPA Region 9, San Francisco, California.

Hagemann, M.F., 2005. Use of Electronic Databases in Environmental Regulation, Policy Making and Public Participation. Brownfields 2005, Denver, Colorado.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Nevada and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Las Vegas, NV (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Invited testimony to a California Senate committee hearing on air toxins at schools in Southern California, Los Angeles.

Brown, A., Farrow, J., Gray, A. and **Hagemann, M.**, 2004. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to the Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference, National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Arizona and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Phoenix, AZ (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in the Southwestern U.S. Invited presentation to a special committee meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, Irvine, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a tribal EPA meeting, Pechanga, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a meeting of tribal representatives, Parker, AZ.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Impact of Perchlorate on the Colorado River and Associated Drinking Water Supplies. Invited presentation to the Inter-Tribal Meeting, Torres Martinez Tribe.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. The Emergence of Perchlorate as a Widespread Drinking Water Contaminant. Invited presentation to the U.S. EPA Region 9.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. A Deductive Approach to the Assessment of Perchlorate Contamination. Invited presentation to the California Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate: A Cold War Legacy in Drinking Water. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater and an Estimate of Costs to Address Impacts to Groundwater. Presentation to the annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Journalists.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of the Cost to Address MTBE Contamination in Groundwater (and Who Will Pay). Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to a meeting of the U.S. EPA and State Underground Storage Tank Program managers.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Cleanup Cost for MTBE in Groundwater Used as Drinking Water. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Leaking Underground Storage Tanks. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., and VanMouwerik, M., 1999. Potential Water Quality Concerns Related to Snowmobile Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

VanMouwerik, M. and **Hagemann, M.F.** 1999, Water Quality Concerns Related to Personal Watercraft Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

Hagemann, M.F., 1999, Is Dilution the Solution to Pollution in National Parks? The George Wright Society Biannual Meeting, Asheville, North Carolina.

Hagemann, M.F., 1997, The Potential for MTBE to Contaminate Groundwater. U.S. EPA Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Hagemann, M.F., and Gill, M., 1996, Impediments to Intrinsic Remediation, Moffett Field Naval Air Station, Conference on Intrinsic Remediation of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Salt Lake City.

Hagemann, M.F., Fukunaga, G.L., 1996, The Vulnerability of Groundwater to Anthropogenic Contaminants on the Island of Maui, Hawaii. Hawaii Water Works Association Annual Meeting, Maui, October 1996.

Hagemann, M. F., Fukunaga, G. L., 1996, Ranking Groundwater Vulnerability in Central Oahu, Hawaii. Proceedings, Geographic Information Systems in Environmental Resources Management, Air and Waste Management Association Publication VIP-61.

Hagemann, M.F., 1994. Groundwater Characterization and Cleanup at Closing Military Bases in California. Proceedings, California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F. and Sabol, M.A., 1993. Role of the U.S. EPA in the High Plains States Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Program. Proceedings, Sixth Biennial Symposium on the Artificial Recharge of Groundwater.

Hagemann, M.F., 1993. U.S. EPA Policy on the Technical Impracticability of the Cleanup of DNAPL-contaminated Groundwater. California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F., 1992. Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid Contamination of Groundwater: An Ounce of Prevention... Proceedings, Association of Engineering Geologists Annual Meeting, v. 35.

Other Experience:

Selected as subject matter expert for the California Professional Geologist licensing examinations, 2009-2011.



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and
Litigation Support for the Environment

SOIL WATER AIR PROTECTION ENTERPRISE

2656 29th Street, Suite 201
Santa Monica, California 90405
Attn: Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.
Mobil: (310) 795-2335
Office: (310) 452-5555
Fax: (310) 452-5550

Email: prosenfeld@swape.com

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Principal Environmental Chemist

Chemical Fate and Transport & Air Dispersion Modeling

Risk Assessment & Remediation Specialist

Education

Ph.D. Soil Chemistry, University of Washington, 1999. Dissertation on volatile organic compound filtration.

M.S. Environmental Science, U.C. Berkeley, 1995. Thesis on organic waste economics.

B.A. Environmental Studies, U.C. Santa Barbara, 1991. Thesis on wastewater treatment.

Professional Experience

Dr. Rosenfeld has over 25 years' experience conducting environmental investigations and risk assessments for evaluating impacts to human health, property, and ecological receptors. His expertise focuses on the fate and transport of environmental contaminants, human health risk, exposure assessment, and ecological restoration. Dr. Rosenfeld has evaluated and modeled emissions from oil spills, landfills, boilers and incinerators, process stacks, storage tanks, confined animal feeding operations, industrial, military and agricultural sources, unconventional oil drilling operations, and locomotive and construction engines. His project experience ranges from monitoring and modeling of pollution sources to evaluating impacts of pollution on workers at industrial facilities and residents in surrounding communities. Dr. Rosenfeld has also successfully modeled exposure to contaminants distributed by water systems and via vapor intrusion.

Dr. Rosenfeld has investigated and designed remediation programs and risk assessments for contaminated sites containing lead, heavy metals, mold, bacteria, particulate matter, petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, radioactive waste, dioxins and furans, semi- and volatile organic compounds, PCBs, PAHs, creosote, perchlorate, asbestos, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFOA/PFOS), unusual polymers, fuel oxygenates (MTBE), among other pollutants. Dr. Rosenfeld also has experience evaluating greenhouse gas emissions from various projects and is an expert on the assessment of odors from industrial and agricultural sites, as well as the evaluation of odor nuisance impacts and technologies for abatement of odorous emissions. As a principal scientist at SWAPE, Dr. Rosenfeld directs air dispersion modeling and exposure assessments. He has served as an expert witness and testified about pollution sources causing nuisance and/or personal injury at sites and has testified as an expert witness on numerous cases involving exposure to soil, water and air contaminants from industrial, railroad, agricultural, and military sources.

Professional History:

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE); 2003 to present; Principal and Founding Partner
UCLA School of Public Health; 2007 to 2011; Lecturer (Assistant Researcher)
UCLA School of Public Health; 2003 to 2006; Adjunct Professor
UCLA Environmental Science and Engineering Program; 2002-2004; Doctoral Intern Coordinator
UCLA Institute of the Environment, 2001-2002; Research Associate
Komex H₂O Science, 2001 to 2003; Senior Remediation Scientist
National Groundwater Association, 2002-2004; Lecturer
San Diego State University, 1999-2001; Adjunct Professor
Anteon Corp., San Diego, 2000-2001; Remediation Project Manager
Ogden (now Amec), San Diego, 2000-2000; Remediation Project Manager
Bechtel, San Diego, California, 1999 – 2000; Risk Assessor
King County, Seattle, 1996 – 1999; Scientist
James River Corp., Washington, 1995-96; Scientist
Big Creek Lumber, Davenport, California, 1995; Scientist
Plumas Corp., California and USFS, Tahoe 1993-1995; Scientist
Peace Corps and World Wildlife Fund, St. Kitts, West Indies, 1991-1993; Scientist

Publications:

Remy, L.L., Clay T., Byers, V., **Rosenfeld P. E.** (2019) Hospital, Health, and Community Burden After Oil Refinery Fires, Richmond, California 2007 and 2012. *Environmental Health*. 18:48

Simons, R.A., Seo, Y. **Rosenfeld, P.**, (2015) Modeling the Effect of Refinery Emission On Residential Property Value. *Journal of Real Estate Research*. 27(3):321-342

Chen, J. A, Zapata A. R., Sutherland A. J., Molmen, D.R., Chow, B. S., Wu, L. E., **Rosenfeld, P. E.**, Hesse, R. C., (2012) Sulfur Dioxide and Volatile Organic Compound Exposure To A Community In Texas City Texas Evaluated Using Aermid and Empirical Data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8(6), 622-632.

Rosenfeld, P.E. & Feng, L. (2011). *The Risks of Hazardous Waste*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2011). *Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry*, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Gonzalez, J., Feng, L., Sutherland, A., Waller, C., Sok, H., Hesse, R., **Rosenfeld, P.** (2010). PCBs and Dioxins/Furans in Attic Dust Collected Near Former PCB Production and Secondary Copper Facilities in Sauget, IL. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 113–125.

Feng, L., Wu, C., Tam, L., Sutherland, A.J., Clark, J.J., **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2010). Dioxin and Furan Blood Lipid and Attic Dust Concentrations in Populations Living Near Four Wood Treatment Facilities in the United States. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 73(6), 34-46.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2010). *Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Wood and Paper Industries*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2009). *Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Petroleum Industry*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld, P.** (2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, Air Pollution*, 123 (17), 319-327.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2008). A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equivalency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 002252-002255.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2008). Methods For Collect Samples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In Attic Dust: A Review. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 000527-000530.

Hensley, A.R. A. Scott, J. J. J. Clark, **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2007). Attic Dust and Human Blood Samples Collected near a Former Wood Treatment Facility. *Environmental Research*. 105, 194-197.

Rosenfeld, P.E., J. J. J. Clark, A. R. Hensley, M. Suffet. (2007). The Use of an Odor Wheel Classification for Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria for Compost Facilities. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 345-357.

Rosenfeld, P. E., M. Suffet. (2007). The Anatomy Of Odour Wheels For Odours Of Drinking Water, Wastewater, Compost And The Urban Environment. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 335-344.

Sullivan, P. J. Clark, J.J.J., Agardy, F. J., **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (2007). *Toxic Legacy, Synthetic Toxins in the Food, Water, and Air in American Cities*. Boston Massachusetts: Elsevier Publishing

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9),171-178.

Rosenfeld P. E., J.J. Clark, I.H. (Mel) Suffet (2004). The Value of An Odor-Quality-Wheel Classification Scheme For The Urban Environment. *Water Environment Federation's Technical Exhibition and Conference (WEFTEC) 2004*. New Orleans, October 2-6, 2004.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet, I.H. (2004). Understanding Odorants Associated With Compost, Biomass Facilities, and the Land Application of Biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9), 193-199.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash, *Water Science and Technology*, 49(9), 171-178.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M. A., Sellev, P. (2004). Measurement of Biosolids Odor and Odorant Emissions from Windrows, Static Pile and Biofilter. *Water Environment Research*. 76(4), 310-315.

Rosenfeld, P.E., Grey, M and Suffet, M. (2002). Compost Demonstration Project, Sacramento California Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Integrated Waste Management Board Public Affairs Office*, Publications Clearinghouse (MS-6), Sacramento, CA Publication #442-02-008.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and C.L. Henry. (2001). Characterization of odor emissions from three different biosolids. *Water Soil and Air Pollution*. 127(1-4), 173-191.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Henry C. L., (2000). Wood ash control of odor emissions from biosolids application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 29, 1662-1668.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry and D. Bennett. (2001). Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. *Water Environment Research*. 73(4), 363-367.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and C.L. Henry. (2001). Activated Carbon and Wood Ash Sorption of Wastewater, Compost, and Biosolids Odorants. *Water Environment Research*, 73, 388-393.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Henry C. L., (2001). High carbon wood ash effect on biosolids microbial activity and odor. *Water Environment Research*. 131(1-4), 247-262.

Chollack, T. and **P. Rosenfeld**. (1998). Compost Amendment Handbook For Landscaping. Prepared for and distributed by the City of Redmond, Washington State.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1992). The Mount Liamuiga Crater Trail. *Heritage Magazine of St. Kitts*, 3(2).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1993). High School Biogas Project to Prevent Deforestation On St. Kitts. *Biomass Users Network*, 7(1).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions From Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. Doctoral Thesis. University of Washington College of Forest Resources.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1994). Potential Utilization of Small Diameter Trees on Sierra County Public Land. Masters thesis reprinted by the Sierra County Economic Council. Sierra County, California.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1991). How to Build a Small Rural Anaerobic Digester & Uses Of Biogas In The First And Third World. Bachelors Thesis. University of California.

Presentations:

Rosenfeld, P.E., "The science for Perfluorinated Chemicals (PFAS): What makes remediation so hard?" Law Seminars International, (May 9-10, 2018) 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 101 Seattle, WA.

Rosenfeld, P.E., Sutherland, A; Hesse, R.; Zapata, A. (October 3-6, 2013). Air dispersion modeling of volatile organic emissions from multiple natural gas wells in Decatur, TX. *44th Western Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society*. Lecture conducted from Santa Clara, CA.

Sok, H.L.; Waller, C.C.; Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutherland, A.J.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Atrazine: A Persistent Pesticide in Urban Drinking Water. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sok, H.L.; Sutherland, A.J.; Waller, C.C.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; La, M.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Bringing Environmental Justice to East St. Louis, Illinois. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. *2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting*, Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Cost to Filter Atrazine Contamination from Drinking Water in the United States” Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. *2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting*. Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld, P.** (20-22 July, 2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. Brebbia, C.A. and Popov, V., eds., *Air Pollution XVII: Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Modeling, Monitoring and Management of Air Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Tallinn, Estonia.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Moss Point Community Exposure To Contaminants From A Releasing Facility. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). The Repeated Trespass of Tritium-Contaminated Water Into A Surrounding Community Form Repeated Waste Spills From A Nuclear Power Plant. *The 23rd Annual International*

Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Somerville Community Exposure To Contaminants From Wood Treatment Facility Emissions. The 23rd *Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Production, Chemical Properties, Toxicology, & Treatment Case Studies of 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP). *The Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Blood and Attic Sampling for Dioxin/Furan, PAH, and Metal Exposure in Florala, Alabama. *The AEHS Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (August 21 – 25, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants – DIOXIN2006*. Lecture conducted from Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (November 4-8, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *APHA 134 Annual Meeting & Exposition*. Lecture conducted from Boston Massachusetts.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (October 24-25, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. Mealey's C8/PFOA. *Science, Risk & Litigation Conference*. Lecture conducted from The Rittenhouse Hotel, Philadelphia, PA.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, *Toxicology and Remediation PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel, Irvine California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Fate, Transport, Toxicity, And Persistence of 1,2,3-TCP. *PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel in Irvine, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 26-27, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PDBEs. *Mealey's Groundwater Conference*. Lecture conducted from Ritz Carlton Hotel, Marina Del Ray, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (June 7-8, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. *International Society of Environmental Forensics: Focus On Emerging Contaminants*. Lecture conducted from Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel, Virginia Beach, Virginia.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Fate Transport, Persistence and Toxicology of PFOA and Related Perfluorochemicals. *2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water And Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, Toxicology and Remediation. *2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. and Rob Hesse R.G. (May 5-6, 2004). Tert-butyl Alcohol Liability and Toxicology, A National Problem and Unquantified Liability. *National Groundwater Association. Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Congress Plaza Hotel, Chicago Illinois.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (March 2004). Perchlorate Toxicology. *Meeting of the American Groundwater Trust*. Lecture conducted from Phoenix Arizona.

Hagemann, M.F., **Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** and Rob Hesse (2004). Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. *Meeting of tribal representatives*. Lecture conducted from Parker, AZ.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (April 7, 2004). A National Damage Assessment Model For PCE and Dry Cleaners. *Drycleaner Symposium. California Ground Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Radison Hotel, Sacramento, California.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M., (June 2003) Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Seventh International In Situ And On Site Bioremediation Symposium Battelle Conference* Orlando, FL.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. (February 20-21, 2003) Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity and Regulatory Guidance of 1,4 Dioxane. *National Groundwater Association. Southwest Focus Conference. Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants..* Lecture conducted from Hyatt Regency Phoenix Arizona.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (February 6-7, 2003). Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *California CUPA Forum*. Lecture conducted from Marriott Hotel, Anaheim California.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (October 23, 2002) Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *EPA Underground Storage Tank Roundtable*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Understanding Odor from Compost, *Wastewater and Industrial Processes. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Using High Carbon Wood Ash to Control Compost Odor. *Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Grey, M. A. (September 22-24, 2002). Biocycle Composting For Coastal Sage Restoration. *Northwest Biosolids Management Association*. Lecture conducted from Vancouver Washington..

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Grey, M. A. (November 11-14, 2002). Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Soil Science Society Annual Conference*. Lecture conducted from Indianapolis, Maryland.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (September 16, 2000). Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Water Environment Federation*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (October 16, 2000). Wood ash and biofilter control of compost odor. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Ocean Shores, California.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (2000). Bioremediation Using Organic Soil Amendments. *California Resource Recovery Association*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. *Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings*. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and C.L. Henry. (1999). An evaluation of ash incorporation with biosolids for odor reduction. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Salt Lake City Utah.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Comparison of Microbial Activity and Odor Emissions from Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Brown and Caldwell*. Lecture conducted from Seattle Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions from Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Lake Chelan, Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. B. Harrison, and R. Dills. (1997). Comparison of Odor Emissions From Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Teaching Experience:

UCLA Department of Environmental Health (Summer 2003 through 20010) Taught Environmental Health Science 100 to students, including undergrad, medical doctors, public health professionals and nurses. Course focused on the health effects of environmental contaminants.

National Ground Water Association, Successful Remediation Technologies. Custom Course in Sante Fe, New Mexico. May 21, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of fuel contaminants associated with underground storage tanks.

National Ground Water Association; Successful Remediation Technologies Course in Chicago Illinois. April 1, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of contaminants associated with Superfund and RCRA sites.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, April and May, 2001. Alternative Landfill Caps Seminar in San Diego, Ventura, and San Francisco. Focused on both prescriptive and innovative landfill cover design.

UCLA Department of Environmental Engineering, February 5, 2002. Seminar on Successful Remediation Technologies focusing on Groundwater Remediation.

University Of Washington, Soil Science Program, Teaching Assistant for several courses including: Soil Chemistry, Organic Soil Amendments, and Soil Stability.

U.C. Berkeley, Environmental Science Program Teaching Assistant for Environmental Science 10.

Academic Grants Awarded:

California Integrated Waste Management Board. \$41,000 grant awarded to UCLA Institute of the Environment. Goal: To investigate effect of high carbon wood ash on volatile organic emissions from compost. 2001.

Synagro Technologies, Corona California: \$10,000 grant awarded to San Diego State University. Goal: investigate effect of biosolids for restoration and remediation of degraded coastal sage soils. 2000.

King County, Department of Research and Technology, Washington State. \$100,000 grant awarded to University of Washington: Goal: To investigate odor emissions from biosolids application and the effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions. 1998.

Northwest Biosolids Management Association, Washington State. \$20,000 grant awarded to investigate effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions from biosolids. 1997.

James River Corporation, Oregon: \$10,000 grant was awarded to investigate the success of genetically engineered Poplar trees with resistance to round-up. 1996.

United State Forest Service, Tahoe National Forest: \$15,000 grant was awarded to investigating fire ecology of the Tahoe National Forest. 1995.

Kellogg Foundation, Washington D.C. \$500 grant was awarded to construct a large anaerobic digester on St. Kitts in West Indies. 1993

Deposition and/or Trial Testimony:

In the Circuit Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois
Martha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants
Case No.: No. 0i9-L-2295
Rosenfeld Deposition, 5-14-2021
Trial, October 8-4-2021

In the Circuit Court of Cook County Illinois
Joseph Rafferty, Plaintiff vs. Consolidated Rail Corporation and National Railroad Passenger Corporation
d/b/a AMTRAK,
Case No.: No. 18-L-6845
Rosenfeld Deposition, 6-28-2021

In the United States District Court For the Northern District of Illinois
Theresa Romcoe, Plaintiff vs. Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad Corporation d/b/a METRA
Rail, Defendants
Case No.: No. 17-cv-8517
Rosenfeld Deposition, 5-25-2021

In the Superior Court of the State of Arizona In and For the Cuntly of Maricopa
Mary Tryon et al., Plaintiff vs. The City of Pheonix v. Cox Cactus Farm, L.L.C., Utah Shelter Systems, Inc.
Case Number CV20127-094749
Rosenfeld Deposition: 5-7-2021

In the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Beaumont Division
Robinson, Jeremy et al *Plaintiffs*, vs. CNA Insurance Company et al.
Case Number 1:17-cv-000508
Rosenfeld Deposition: 3-25-2021

In the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Bernardino
Gary Garner, Personal Representative for the Estate of Melvin Garner vs. BNSF Railway Company.
Case No. 1720288
Rosenfeld Deposition 2-23-2021

In the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Spring Street Courthouse
Benny M Rodriguez vs. Union Pacific Railroad, A Corporation, et al.
Case No. 18STCV01162
Rosenfeld Deposition 12-23-2020

In the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri
Karen Cornwell, *Plaintiff*, vs. Marathon Petroleum, LP, *Defendant*.
Case No.: 1716-CV10006
Rosenfeld Deposition. 8-30-2019

In the United States District Court For The District of New Jersey
Duarte et al, *Plaintiffs*, vs. United States Metals Refining Company et. al. *Defendant*.
Case No.: 2:17-cv-01624-ES-SCM
Rosenfeld Deposition. 6-7-2019

In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division
M/T Carla Maersk, *Plaintiffs*, vs. Conti 168., Schiffahrts-GMBH & Co. Bulker KG MS “Conti Perdido”
Defendant.
Case No.: 3:15-CV-00106 consolidated with 3:15-CV-00237
Rosenfeld Deposition. 5-9-2019

In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica
Carole-Taddeo-Bates et al., vs. Ifran Khan et al., Defendants
Case No.: No. BC615636
Rosenfeld Deposition, 1-26-2019

In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica
The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments et al. vs El Adobe Apts. Inc. et al., Defendants
Case No.: No. BC646857
Rosenfeld Deposition, 10-6-2018; Trial 3-7-19

In United States District Court For The District of Colorado
Bells et al. Plaintiff vs. The 3M Company et al., Defendants
Case No.: 1:16-cv-02531-RBJ
Rosenfeld Deposition, 3-15-2018 and 4-3-2018

In The District Court Of Regan County, Texas, 112th Judicial District
Phillip Bales et al., Plaintiff vs. Dow Agrosiences, LLC, et al., Defendants
Cause No.: 1923
Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-17-2017

In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Contra Costa
Simons et al., Plaintiffs vs. Chevron Corporation, et al., Defendants
Cause No C12-01481
Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-20-2017

In The Circuit Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois
Martha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants
Case No.: No. 0i9-L-2295
Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-23-2017

In United States District Court For The Southern District of Mississippi
Guy Manuel vs. The BP Exploration et al., Defendants
Case: No 1:19-cv-00315-RHW
Rosenfeld Deposition, 4-22-2020

In The Superior Court of the State of California, For The County of Los Angeles
Warrn Gilbert and Penny Gilber, Plaintiff vs. BMW of North America LLC
Case No.: LC102019 (c/w BC582154)
Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-16-2017, Trail 8-28-2018

In the Northern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division
Brenda J. Cooper, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Meritor Inc., et al., *Defendants*
Case Number: 4:16-cv-52-DMB-JVM
Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of Washington, County of Snohomish
Michael Davis and Julie Davis et al., Plaintiff vs. Cedar Grove Composting Inc., Defendants
Case No.: No. 13-2-03987-5
Rosenfeld Deposition, February 2017
Trial, March 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda
Charles Spain., Plaintiff vs. Thermo Fisher Scientific, et al., Defendants
Case No.: RG14711115
Rosenfeld Deposition, September 2015

In The Iowa District Court In And For Poweshiek County
Russell D. Winburn, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Doug Hoksbergen, et al., Defendants
Case No.: LALA002187
Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015

In The Circuit Court of Ohio County, West Virginia
Robert Andrews, et al. v. Antero, et al.
Civil Action NO. 14-C-30000
Rosenfeld Deposition, June 2015

In The Iowa District Court For Muscatine County
Laurie Freeman et. al. Plaintiffs vs. Grain Processing Corporation, Defendant
Case No 4980
Rosenfeld Deposition: May 2015

In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, in and For Broward County, Florida
Walter Hinton, et. al. Plaintiff, vs. City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a Municipality, Defendant.
Case Number CACE07030358 (26)
Rosenfeld Deposition: December 2014

In the County Court of Dallas County Texas
Lisa Parr et al, *Plaintiff*, vs. Aruba et al, *Defendant*.
Case Number cc-11-01650-E
Rosenfeld Deposition: March and September 2013
Rosenfeld Trial: April 2014

In the Court of Common Pleas of Tuscarawas County Ohio
John Michael Abicht, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Republic Services, Inc., et al., *Defendants*
Case Number: 2008 CT 10 0741 (Cons. w/ 2009 CV 10 0987)
Rosenfeld Deposition: October 2012

In the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Division
James K. Benefield, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. International Paper Company, *Defendant*.
Civil Action Number 2:09-cv-232-WHA-TFM
Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2010, June 2011

In the Circuit Court of Jefferson County Alabama
Jaeanette Moss Anthony, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Drummond Company Inc., et al., *Defendants*
Civil Action No. CV 2008-2076
Rosenfeld Deposition: September 2010

In the United States District Court, Western District Lafayette Division
Ackle et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Citgo Petroleum Corporation, et al., *Defendants*.
Case Number 2:07CV1052
Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2009

MEMORANDUM



MCT # 62772

Wednesday, October 19, 2022

TO: Finance & Management Services Agency
FROM: Planning and Building Agency
SUBJECT: Miscellaneous Cash Transaction

All fees are subject to change at any time and may also be affected by scheduled adjustments on July 1 of each year. The Payee must pay the prevailing rate at the time payment is made.

PROJECT NAME:	New Warehouse/Industrial Building	MASTER ID # 2021-166019
PROJECT ADDRESS:	1700 E Garry Ave, Santa Ana, CA 92705-5802	AP # 430-171-07
Application #	APPL-2022-1-APC	Permit #

ISSUED TO: Michael Lozeau

ADDRESS: 1939 Harrison Street, Ste 150

Oakland, CA 94612

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	RATE	AMOUNT FUND NO.
1	Appeal (Non-Applicant)	1.0000		\$472.00	\$472.00 01116002 53606

PAID

TOTAL MCT AMOUNT: \$472.00

Comments:

Created via Planning Invoice process

Issued By: web_plpay (Planning and Building Agency)

NOTES: For payment to be considered complete, a Miscellaneous Cash Transaction (MCT) must be paid in full.

<u>GL Account #</u>	<u>Total</u>
01116002 53606	\$472.00