

Flores, Dora

From: Lorena Mojarra <lasmojarra81@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 2:32 PM
To: eComment
Subject: 85A Agenda

I'm commenting on this 85A Agenda as a resident of Santa Ana. I don't agree with Nelida Mendoza asking to explore a contracted City Attorney when we currently have one. City of Santa Ana does not need more spending on private attorneys nor unions. The city needs the funds to be used for our community.

Orozco, Norma

From: Danny Vega <vegadanny@live.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 3:34 PM
To: eComment
Subject: Item Agenda 85A

Hello,

I am Danny Vega, resident of ward 3 and I strongly oppose this.

I don't understand what is the point of going to a full contract when that will cost the city more money. I would also like to know what is the intention of Nelida brining this up. Has Sonia done a bad job?

Please, stop this at once. If this truly needs to be considered then let the future council decide. It is the right thing to do.

I will be sharing the snippet of this session and how it was voted on and will be sharing this portion across all social media platforms. Please do the right thing and vote no.

Best Regards,
Danny

Orozco, Norma

From: vegam12 <vegam12@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 4:08 PM
To: eComment
Subject: 85A

I'm opposed to this decision on the city to what Mrs. Mendoza is proposing it will cost alot of money to us taxpayers and homeowners, please do not move forward with this person's proposal.